Comparing the Local Mitigation Plan Review Crosswalk with the Local Mitigation Plan Review Tool Regulation Checklist

1. REGULATION CHECKLIST Regulation (44 CFR 201.6 Local Mitigation Plans)	CROSSWALK REFERENCE #	
ELEMENT A. PLANNING PROCESS		
A1. Does the Plan document the planning process, including how it was prepared and who was involved in the process for each jurisdiction? (Requirement §201.6(c)(1))	2A, 3A, 4A, 4B	
A2. Does the Plan document an opportunity for neighboring communities, local and regional	4D	
agencies involved in hazard mitigation activities, agencies that have the authority to regulate development as well as other interests to be involved in the planning process? (Requirement §201.6(b)(2))		
A3. Does the Plan document how the public was involved in the planning process during the drafting stage? (Requirement §201.6(b)(1))	4C	
A4. Does the Plan describe the review and incorporation of existing plans, studies, reports, and technical information? (Requirement §201.6(b)(3))	4E	
A5. Is there discussion of how the community(ies) will continue public participation in the plan maintenance process? (Requirement §201.6(c)(4)(iii))	20A	
A6. Is there a description of the method and schedule for keeping the plan current (monitoring,	18A, 18B, 18C	
evaluating and updating the mitigation plan within a 5-year cycle)? (Requirement §201.6(c)(4)(i))		
ELEMENT A - CHANGES FROM THE CROSSWALK		
• A2 clarifies the interpretation of the CFR to involve neighboring communities, agencies	es involved in hazard	
mitigation and those with authority to regulate development.		
• A5 and A6 moves the Plan Maintenance requirements into the Planning Process element.		
ELEMENT B. HAZARD IDENTIFICATION AND RISK ASSESSMENT		
B1. Does the Plan include a description of the type, location, and extent of all natural hazards that can affect each jurisdiction(s)? (Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(i))	5A, 6A, 6B, 12A	
B2. Does the Plan include information on previous occurrences of hazard events and on the probability of future hazard events for each jurisdiction? (Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(i))	6C, 6D, 12A	
B3. Is there a description of each identified hazard's impact on the community as well as an overall summary of the community's vulnerability for each jurisdiction? (Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(ii))	7A, 7B, 12A	
B4. Does the Plan address NFIP insured structures within the jurisdiction that have been	8A	
repetitively damaged by floods? (Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(ii))		
ELEMENT B - CHANGES FROM THE CROSSWALK		
B1 combines the type, location, and extent requirements.		
B2 combines the previous occurrence and probability requirements.		
B3 clarifies the interpretation of how each jurisdiction must describe their vulnerability.		
ELEMENT C. MITIGATION STRATEGY		
C1. Does the plan document each jurisdiction's existing authorities, policies, programs and resources and its ability to expand on and improve these existing policies and programs? (Requirement §201.6(c)(3))	NA	
C2. Does the Plan address each jurisdiction's participation in the NFIP and continued compliance with NFIP requirements, as appropriate? (Requirement §201.6(c)(3)(ii))	15A, 15B	
C3. Does the Plan include goals to reduce/avoid long-term vulnerabilities to the identified hazards? (Requirement §201.6(c)(3)(i))	13A	
C4. Does the Plan identify and analyze a comprehensive range of specific mitigation actions and projects for each jurisdiction being considered to reduce the effects of hazards, with emphasis on new and existing buildings and infrastructure? (Requirement §201.6(c)(3)(ii))	14A, 14B, 14C	

Comparing the Local Mitigation Plan Review Crosswalk with the Local Mitigation Plan Review Tool Regulation Checklist

1. REGULATION CHECKLIST	CROSSWALK REFERENCE #	
Regulation (44 CFR 201.6 Local Mitigation Plans)		
C5. Does the Plan contain an action plan that describes how the actions identified will be	16A, 16B, 16C, 17A	
prioritized (including cost benefit review), implemented, and administered by each jurisdiction?		
(Requirement §201.6(c)(3)(iv)); (Requirement §201.6(c)(3)(iii))		
C6. Does the Plan describe a process by which local governments will integrate the requirements	19A, 19B	
of the mitigation plan into other planning mechanisms, such as comprehensive or capital		
improvement plans, when appropriate? (Requirement §201.6(c)(4)(ii))		
ELEMENT C - CHANGES FROM THE CROSSWALK		
C1 includes the specific CFR language for the capabilities requirement.		
• C2 removes the requirement for specific actions for NFIP continued compliance in the mitigation strategy.		
C6 moves the integration requirement in the mitigation strategy element.		
ELEMENT D. PLAN REVIEW, EVALUATION, AND IMPLEMENTATION (applicable to plan updates only)		
D1. Was the plan revised to reflect changes in development? (Requirement §201.6(d)(3))	11A	
D2. Was the plan revised to reflect progress in local mitigation efforts? (Requirement	16D, 17B	
§201.6(d)(3))		
D3. Was the plan revised to reflect changes in priorities? (Requirement §201.6(d)(3))	16D, 17B	
ELEMENT D - CHANGES FROM THE CROSSWALK		
D1 – D3 clarify interpretations of existing requirements.		
ELEMENT E. PLAN ADOPTION		
E1. Does the Plan include documentation that the plan has been formally adopted by the governing body of the jurisdiction requesting approval? (Requirement §201.6(c)(5))	1A, 1B	
E2. For multi-jurisdictional plans, has each jurisdiction requesting approval of the plan	2B, 2C	
documented formal plan adoption? (Requirement §201.6(c)(5))	, ·	
ELEMENT E - CHANGES FROM THE CROSSWALK	•	
None		
DELETED ELEMENTS FROM CROSSWALK		
Update requirements were replaced with Element D in order to be more aligned with the CFR	3B, 4F, 19C	
Sub-element "shoulds" were removed to be more aligned with the CFR.	9A, 9B, 10A, 10B	